

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,068	12/05/2003	Jeffrey Merwin	76288-88036	9191
	7590 01/05/200 SHALTON WELTE SU	EXAMINER		
700 W. 47TH STREET SUITE 1000 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112-1802			WEST, PAUL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/729,068	MERWIN, JEFFREY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul M. West	2856			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the standard will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 No.	ovember 2006.				
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		•			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-21</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 21</u> is/are allowed.					
<b>.</b> 6)⊠	_					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers		•			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)  objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/729,068

Art Unit: 2856

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1 September 2006 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 12-14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemp et al (5,600,997) in view of Raphael (5,546,009).
- 2. As to claims 12 and 17-20, Kemp et al teach a method comprising the steps of: introducing a signal 30 into a fluid-receiving space 20 such that the signal is present for sensing within fluid 21 at a predetermined level, the signal being transmitted through the fluid receiving space 20 according to a value of electric conductivity of the fluid; providing a probe 24 at the predetermined level for sensing the signal; sensing for the presence of the signal within the fluid at the predetermined level; and providing a control

Application/Control Number: 10/729,068

Art Unit: 2856

function in response whether the signal is so sensed, in order to indicate whether the fluid is or is not present at the predetermined level wherein the control function is an indication that fluid is lower than the predetermined level (Col. 5, lines 45-62) and is carried out by microprocessor control (Col. 6, lines 21-40) and establishing a delay on make and a delay on break time for indicating that fluid is lower than the predetermined level (Col. 10, lines 5-14). Kemp et al do not teach selectively adjusting the sensitivity of the probe.

Page 3

- 3. Raphael teaches a method for sensing the presence or absence of a fluid at a predetermined level by detecting with a probe 16,17 a signal transmitted through the fluid and based on the electrical conductivity of the fluid, and for selectively adjusting the sensitivity of probe-responsive circuitry according the value of the conductivity of the fluid (Col. 9, lines 50-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Raphael with the method of Kemp et al because adjusting the sensitivity allows the apparatus to be used with a larger and more diverse array of fluids while maintaining accurate measurements.
- 4. Regarding claim 13, Kemp et al teach sensing the presence of the signal 30 by using a signal responsive probe 24 inserted into the fluid-receiving space 20 at the predetermined level (see Fig. 2).
- 5. Regarding claim 14, Kemp et al teach the signal being of a periodic nature (Col. 4, lines 45-48).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,068 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-10 and 21 are allowed.

7. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul M. West whose telephone number is (571) 272-8590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,068

Art Unit: 2856

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HEZRUN WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800